- 1 recall that?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. What is your understanding of the regulated status of AADS
- 4 in Ohio?
- 5 A. Well, back in August of 1993 AADS asked for -- to become
- 6 a -- they're basically a public utility in the State, and
- 7 they're a subsidiary of Ameritech Corporate, not Ameritech Ohio.
- 8 And they have certificated to resell services, and they have to
- 9 file tariffs with the State of Ohio, and they offer -- the
- 10 purpose of the certification was to offer frame relay and other
- 11 data services. So they've been -- they've had a license since
- 12 August of 1993.
- MR. CONWAY: Thank you. No further questions.
- 14 EXAMINER JENNINGS: Just to follow up on that. How
- 15 are they certificated?
- THE WITNESS: As a -- They're more as a reseller and
- 17 they're treated as a public utility. Does that kind of make
- 18 sense?
- 19 EXAMINER JENNINGS: Inter-exchange carrier or a local
- 20 carrier provider?
- THE WITNESS: They can't be an exchange carrier;
- 22 they're still restricted. It's all intraLATA traffic that they
- 23 can end.
- 24 MEMBER SOLIMAN: Would the CGS provider ring a bell
- 25 for you?

- 1 THE WITNESS: They're more of a reseller.
- 2 MR. CANIS: May I?
- 3 EXAMINER JENNINGS: Go ahead.

- 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. CANIS:
- 7 Q. I think you said they were licensed since August '93?
- 8 A. Yeah.

- 9 Q. They have a certificate of public convenience and
- 10 necessity?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And that's what was issued in August of '93?
- 13 A. Right. So to be a reseller and then only for -- and they
- 14 stated that would be for frame relay and other data services.
- 15 Q. So they -- Now, I believe in Mr. Whiting's testimony he
- 16 defined -- well, I can dig through this and find it if we need
- 17 to, but see if you can humor me in the meantime, somebody
- 18 defined AADS as taking frame relay service and adding
- 19 enhancements; do you recall the bells and whistles?
- 20 A. Right, uh-huh.
- 21 Q. Are they an enhanced service provider?
- 22 A. No. Enhanced frame relay is -- it's not regulated, and
- 23 that's what he means by adding enhancements to it. So we
- 24 don't -- I say "we," basic frame relay is offered by Ameritech
- 25 Ohio. Enhanced frame relay generally is sold out of AADS.

- 1 Although AADS could sell basic frame relay, but then they'd be
- 2 purchasing out of the Ameritech Ohio tariff and then, you know,
- 3 basically reselling that, and I don't know if there would be the
- 4 margins there in order to compete successfully.
- 5 Q. Mr. Wardin, I don't know if I misunderstood you or not, did
- 6 you say that AADS provides service by tariff?
- 7 A. They have a tariff in the State of Ohio.
- 8 Q. But they do not provide the service that we discussed,
- 9 including the --
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. -- this framed by tariff?
- 12 A. That's correct, because they purchase from Ameritech Ohio
- 13 the basic frame relay function.
- 14 Q. But when Ameritech purchased switching functionality from
- 15 AADS, that is done through a negotiated contract?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And is that because the functionality that Ameritech
- 18 purchases from AADS, which I recall is a combination of frame
- 19 relay switching, OC-3 to 48, or T-1, or DS-3, one of those --
- 20 A. Transport.
- 21 Q. -- transport, and multiplexing is an enhanced service or is
- 22 a nonregulated service?
- 23 A. No. By contract -- You know, AADS is regulated like any
- other framed servicer. If somebody else wanted to provide frame
- 25 relay functionality to Ameritech Ohio, we could negotiate with

- 1 them and then --
- 2 Q. Right, okay.
- 3 A. -- and then do that.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. So if ICI came in and you wanted to offer us better terms
- and conditions for a local frame relay, we might use you as our
- 7 underlying carrier.
- 8 Q. Okay. And then, so the serve- -- what services then does
- 9 AADS provide under tariff?
- 10 A. AADS would provide the enhanced frame relay services; so
- 11 they would be packaging the basic frame relay with the
- 12 enhancements. I believe Tim clarified it a little bit more what
- 13 those are. When we start talking about the types of
- 14 enhancements, that's starting to get beyond my knowledge base,
- 15 to be honest about it.
- 16 Q. Okay. But those are the services, including basic frame
- 17 relay service, resold from Ameritech --
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. -- that AADS provides pursuant to tariff?
- 20 A. Correct. You know, there was a -- obviously, a period of
- 21 time in there when AADS also was the sole provider of basic
- 22 service. It was when we filed the federal tariff, and then the
- 23 Ameritech Ohio access tariff in 1996, that then basic was
- 24 provided by Ameritech Ohio. And so then that part of AADS kind
- of moved over to the regulated side of the business.

- 1 Q. Did you say AADS maintains a federal tariff as well as a
- 2 state tariff?
- 3 A. They had a federal tariff. We withdrew that recently, but
- 4 it was not for frame relay services.
- 5 O. Do you know what it was for?
- 6 A. DS-1 and DS-3 services.
- 7 MR. CANIS: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 - -
- 9 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MEMBER SOLIMAN:
- 11 Q. Another clarifying question here.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And I think I became confused again. Does AADS provide
- 14 frame relay service to carriers or only to end users?
- 15 A. Subject to check, AADS could provide frame relay to both
- 16 traditional what we want to call carriers or end users.
- 17 Traditionally, their focus would be on end users because of the
- 18 CPE that you bring with it.
- 19 What most carriers, you know, want to have is just basic
- 20 frame relay and they don't -- they want to provide the
- 21 enhancements themselves. So on a theoretical basis, they could
- 22 provide the frame relay services to carriers. On a practical
- 23 matter, most of the time it would be the carriers would be
- 24 purchasing it out of the tariff from Ameritech Ohio.
- 25 Q. So to your knowledge, can carriers go directly to AADS to

- 1 obtain frame relay service?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. For origination and termination?
- 4 A. Yeah. Prior to, you know, June of '96, carriers were
- 5 purchasing frame relay from AADS, and after that, though, if
- 6 they wanted to purchase basic frame relay, moved over to the
- 7 Ameritech Ohio State access tariff or the federal interstate
- 8 access tariff.
- 9 Q. And that was based on an FCC Commission of -- FCC order?
- 10 A. Yeah, we were asked by the FCC if we would file frame relay
- 11 services in our tariff.
- 12 MEMBER SOLIMAN: Would it be possible to get a copy of
- that FCC order or get the number of the FCC order?
- 14 MR. CONWAY: Certainly.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, we can get a copy of that order.
- 16 Back in mid '96 sometime.
- 17 MEMBER SOLIMAN: Okay. If we can even get the number.
- THE WITNESS: From February to April time frame.
- 19 MEMBER SOLIMAN: All right. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 21 EXAMINER JENNINGS: Any further redirect?
- MR. STEMM: No, your Honor. At this time, we would
- 23 move for the admission of what have already been marked as
- 24 Ameritech Ohio Exhibits No. 2 and 3, and we've also have marked
- 25 as Ameritech Ohio Exhibit No. 4, the additional little drawings

. 4. .

23

24

25

1	that have been put on the white board along with the what had
2	already stayed up on the board.
3	
4	Thereupon, Ameritech Ohio Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
5	purposes of identification.
6	
7	MR. VIREN: Can you use different colors?
8	THE WITNESS: Can you tell I have non-linear thinking?
9	MR. STEMM: It's already been added to what has
10	already been admitted; so take a look at that and see. And we
11	ask for admission of that as well.
12	We can get copies of those to you tomorrow or you can
13	photocopy them now if you'd like.
14	(Witness excused.)
15	(Discussion held off the record.)
16	EXAMINER JENNINGS: If there's no objection, Ameritech
17	Ohio Exhibits 2, 3, and 4 will be admitted into the record.
18	MR. CANIS: No objection.
19	EXAMINER JENNINGS: There being no objection, they
20	will be admitted.
21	MR. STEMM: With the understanding that No. 1 was
22	already admitted, correct?

*DEPONET AFFILIATE * CERTIFIED MIN-U-SCRIPT PUBLISHER*

EXAMINER JENNINGS: Yes.

MR. STEMM: Thank you.

. 1

. - . - . -

Thereupon, Ameritech Ohio Exhibit Nos. 2, 3 and 4 were

2	received into evidence.
3	
4	EXAMINER JENNINGS: If there is nothing else, I
5	believe this hearing is concluded, with briefs to be filed as
6	previously discussed.
7	MR. CANIS: One final question because I know this is
8	an unusual procedure here. Do we have any page limits or
9	anything on the briefs, not that we're thinking of anything
10	overly elaborate.
11	MEMBER SOLIMAN: It's one issue.
12	MR. STEMM: Five for you, fifteen for us.
13	(Laughter.)
14	EXAMINER JENNINGS: Insofar as page limits, does
15	anyone foresee any problem with 15 pages, will it be too
16	restrictive?
17	MR. CANIS: That's fine.
18	MR. STEMM: Okay.
19	EXAMINER JENNINGS: Okay.
20	(Discussion held off the record.)
21	EXAMINER JENNINGS: Just one other issue that I'd like
22	to see addressed.
23	MEMBER SOLIMAN: Okay. Generally, parties have argued
24	about the frame relay service as predominantly for data versus
25	voice and other party have been saying no, it's for both. We

1	would like you to address if the Telecommunications Act
2	obligating interconnection for telephone exchange access and
3	switched access, is it limiting it to voice or data or if there
4	is any sort of restriction on that.
5	EXAMINER JENNINGS: Also bear in mind that the
6	Commission's last entry was issued. The Commission will be
7	considering the motion to deny the petition as part of the
8	information received in this hearing. I just emphasize that,
9	and with that, I believe we are done.
10	MR. STEMM: Thank you.
11	MR. CANIS: Thank you.
12	
13	(Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:35 o'clock
14	p.m. on Monday, May 12, 1997.)
15	₋
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	\cdot
25	

^{*}DEPONET AFFILIATE * CERTIFIED MIN-U-SCRIPT PUBLISHER*

3

.

ATTACHMENT 3

TRANSCRIPT: CROSS EXAMINATION OF TIMOTHY WHITING AT INDIANA ARBITRATION HEARING ("Whiting Indiana Testimony")

if asked today?

A. Yes.

MR. FRUEHWALD: Your Honor, at this time I'd offer Exhibit 2 as clarified and Exhibit 3.

JUDGE MILLER: Any objection?

MR. CANIS: An observation, your

Honor, that this is more than a correction,

it's clearly an expansion of the substance of

Mr. Whiting's testimony. Intermedia does not,

however, object to its inclusion.

JUDGE MILLER: The proffered exhibits marked Respondent's Arbitration Exhibits 2 and 3 are admitted into the record without objection.

MR. FRUEHWALD: I would offer the witness for cross-examination at this time.

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

QUESTIONS BY MR. JONATHAN E. CANIS:

Q. Mr. Whiting, on page 3 of your testimony -- and this is your verified statement, page 3, line 11, you state that, "Most customers use frame relay service only for connecting their own separate Local Area (data) Networks." You say most customers.

What do other customers use frame relay service for?

- A. Well, there's a certain number of customers that utilize frame relay for data that is not carried by local area networks such as older Legacy protocols, but by far the local area network is really the most -- the largest percentage of the traffic that's carried.
- Q. You said other types of data services. Would you clarify what those are?
- A. Where?

- Q. I'm sorry, you said outside of LAN,
 interconnection frame relay is used for other
 data services. Could you clarify a little bit
 more what those data services are?
- A. Data services that would not be carried across a local area network such as older Legacy type protocols, mainframe type traffic.
- Q. Is that all that frame relay customers use frame relay for?
- A. There are certain customers, no current

 Ameritech customers, but there are certain

 customers I know that have tested frame relay

 for voice and also video transmission.
- Q. And do those customers that you're familiar

- with use frame relay for video teleconferencing or video conferencing?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Is there any technical reason why frame relay could not be used for that application?
- A. Technically, no.

- Q. I'm referring now to -- generally to your testimony on page 4 of your verified statement discussing switched versus connection-oriented services. Is it your testimony that frame relay is not a switched service but that voice telephony is?
- A. More accurately my testimony is frame relay is a switching technology, but is not switched in the same respect as a -- the classical public switched telephone network.
- Q. Can frame relay be switched?
- A. Technically there is some proprietary

 capability which exists today to switch frame

 relay.
- Q. Is there any form of voice telephony that is connection-oriented?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you elaborate, please?
- 25 A. It's possible for a customer to have a voice

conversation over a dedicated private line when they have ownership of both ends of that private line, so a lot of businesses that in the old days would have private line networks for their data, they would piggyback voice on to that. Notable issues with that are the voice quality and also the inability to talk to anyone outside of that company.

Q. When you say private line, what kind of technology are you talking about?

- A. A private line is just the digital transport connectivity that would carry protocols on top of it, the actual wire in the ground, so to speak.
- Q. Is it your testimony that no technology other than switched telephony using the public switched network has -- will yield adequate quality to be used for normal telephony?
- A. Well, you mixed a couple different terms there, adequate and normal. Certainly there are some adequate technologies where you and I could speak and understand each other, but by no means could that be considered as normal conversation such as you picking up a telephone out in the lobby and making a call to someone

back in your office in Washington.

Q. Why not?

- A. You would see a marked discrepancy in the quality. There's the potential for parts of your conversation to be dropped.
- Q. And you're saying this is the distinction between all telephony over switched voice, public switched network and all voice telephony transferred over connection-oriented networks?
- A. What I was speaking to specifically was frame relay and its ability to carry voice, and all I was trying to say is the quality there by no means can be construed as being the same as the public switched telephone network.
- Q. I think I asked you earlier if there were other forms of connection-oriented transmission that did not lead to diminution in the quality of a voice transmission.
- A. I don't think -- I don't recall you asking me that. I think you asked me other types of connection-oriented technology that could carry voice.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. Are there other kinds of connection-oriented transmission media that can transmit voice telephony without

degradation of the service?

- A. The private line type of technology that I outlined before has the possibility to do that. However, that would mean the customer could not run any other data over that network, making it impractical.
- Q. So it is possible, I -- I guess we should define our terms. Normal voice telephony, let's just for purposes of this line of questioning, let's say that would be the kind of telephony and the kind of quality that we would get if we used a pay phone or a typical office phone, something along those lines.

Can I obtain a normal voice connection over a private line facility?

A. Well, you get into a fuzzy area when we start talking about office phones because, again, a lot of companies, the state probably included, have their own internal network they build with the private lines.

I think maybe more accurate, you can look at your home phone where it's unlikely that you have a similar setup. There I would say no, there would be a noticeable difference between the telephone service that you receive from

Q. Well, is it your testimony, then, that
businesses with private networks have voice
telephony that is inferior in quality to what
they would get over their home phones?

- A. More accurately I think my testimony is businesses that have frame relay as technology carrying voice, the quality would be inferior to what they get from --
- Q. Let's not talk specifically about frame relay.

 Let's talk about any other form of

 connection-oriented transmission medium of

 which you are aware.
- A. Any business that I've dealt with either in my capacity selling to them directly or acting as a marketing representative of Ameritech, their quality has been inferior to the public switched telephone network.
- Q. So it is your testimony that any entity that uses a connection-oriented network will in fact have a communications system that is noticeably inferior to the telephone service that you get at home?

- Again, I think you're trying to broaden my 1 Α. testimony. I'm here to talk about frame relay, 2 and all I can say is frame relay will be 3 inferior to the public switched telephone 4 I really can't speak as a technical network. 5 expert to any of the other technologies. 6 7
 - Can you speak as a technological expert on ATM? Q.
 - Α. Yes.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Do ATM networks provide voice telephony? Q.
- That may be the future. 10 Α.
 - Could you elaborate, please? Q.
 - Currently everything today would be Α. proprietary. Asynchronous transfer mode, which is the ATM technology, is in the very early stages of having quality of service for voice transmission across that, that technology.
 - Q. Are you aware of any companies that use ATM to provide voice telephony?
 - I believe -- no, I'm not aware of any. Α.
- Does Ameritech have any ATM networks? 20 Ο.
- 21 Α. No.
 - 0. Is it constructing any ATM networks?
- 23 I believe there are plans in place, yes. Α.
- 24 Do you have any idea what those ATM networks 25 will be used for?

It's my understanding to offer ATM services to 1 Α. That's the part I can speak to. end customers. 2 Do you know what those ATM services are? 3 0. Primarily data transport. 4 Do you know, are voice telephony applications 5 0. included in those services? 6 I think they will be in the future. Again, 7 Α. similar to frame relay, it would be primarily 8 or actually exclusively for intracompany type 9 communication. A company would put in an ATM 10 network to talk amongst their locations. 11 But ATM then would be a connection-oriented 12 service that is used in the provision of voice 13 14 telephony? Yes, similar to frame relay. That's not the 15 Α. point I'm trying to make. I don't think the 16 quality in ATM will be indistinguishable from 17 the quality in the public switched telephone 18 network either. 19 JUDGE MILLER: You say you don't 20 21 think? 22 THE WITNESS: I do not. 23 Thank you. JUDGE MILLER: 24 Are you aware whether the manufacturers Lucent 25 and Northern Telecom provide ATM voice

conversion technology?

- A. Voice conversion, I'm not aware -- I'm not sure what that means.
- Q. Do you know if those manufacturers provide a technology that would provide a connection directly from voice switches to their ATM network?
- A. I believe that to be true.
- Q. Do you know what the purpose of that connection would be?
- A. Similar to the customer premises equipment vendors for frame relay premises equipment that carry voice, to take a technology such as voice which isn't really meant for this type of transport and fit it into that transport.
- Q. So we're talking about a ATM application which would use a connection-oriented circuit to extend the reach of a call originated on the public switched network, is that the case?
- A. Originated on the public switched telephone networks, I'm not sure if that is the case.

 But in general it would take a voice call and be able to transport it over a data technology.
- Q. So it would take a voice call on the switched -- public switched network and would

5

_

distinction in your testimony?

A. Well, I wanted to make sure it was understood that although frame relay is a switching technology, you don't have the ability to call other -- other locations that aren't on a preprovision path.

- Q. Is this distinction relevant to whether service can be interconnected for the transport and termination of exchange service?
- A. I don't know if the term exchange service is relevant, so I don't know how to answer your question.
- is about Intermedia's attempt to obtain transport and termination for the provision of frame relay service at mutually compensatory rates pursuant to the Communications Act. Is your distinction with your assertion that frame relay is a connection-oriented rather than a switched service relevant to this proceeding?

MR. FRUEHWALD: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that in terms the witness is here as a fact witness. Whether it's relevant is a determination for the lawyers to argue and for you to make. The witness cannot be required to talk about whether something is

relevant to a legal argument that he's not making. He's a fact witness, so I think that's an inappropriate question.

MR. CANIS: Your Honor, may I respond? I'm simply asking what is the purpose of the witness's testimony.

JUDGE MILLER: You don't have to give a legal conclusion as to its relevance.

You are free to elaborate as to how you feel it might be connected to this proceeding, but if you -- if you don't wish to, I think you have a burden to explain why it's in your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Basically I was just trying to differentiate -- again, I think we have a case where the technology is really way ahead of the regulatory side of the things, and what I'm trying to differentiate here is there's a lot of aspects about frame relay being connection-oriented versus switched which make it -- which make it unfair to classify it as an exchange service in the classical interpretation of that word. That's what I was trying to get after.

QUESTIONS BY MR. CANIS:

Q. Are there other connection-oriented services

that it would be similarly unfair to characterize as exchange service for the purposes of interconnection?

- A. Again, this is my personal opinion. I don't claim to have any legal expertise, but I would say the asynchronous transfer mode could be similarly noted, as well as Internet traffic.
- Q. Is it your understanding, then, that

 Ameritech's position is that ATM and Internet

 access traffic must be excluded from

 interconnection?

MR. FRUEHWALD: Your Honor, I'm going to interpose an objection there because he's not here stating positions. Those have been and will be briefed. Obviously there's a difference between interconnection under certain sections of the statute, interconnection under the statute that they want to rely upon.

Ameritech is not saying that this is not interconnection. It's just not interconnection under the specific statute which gives them a price break that they're asking for, so that question is even unfair in statutory terms, much less the unfairness of asking a fact